Heavy quark diffusion coefficient from lattice QCD

Saumen Datta

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai

Based on: D. Banerjee, S. Datta, M. Laine, 2204.14075, D. Banerjee, S. Datta, R. Gavai, P. Majumdar, 2206.14571

February 10, 2023

A (1) × A (2) × A (2) ×

Heavy-light mesons in deconfined plasma

- ▶ R_{AA} and v_2 of heavy mesons, in particular D mesons, indicate thermalization of charm: small t_{kin}
 - ALICE, JHEP 01 (2022) 174; S. Das, Plenary talk this morning
- For thermal heavy quark, $M \gg T$, $p \gtrsim \sqrt{MT}$
- ▶ Takes $\mathcal{O}(M/T)$ hard collisions to change momentum by $\mathcal{O}(1)$
- A Langevin framework can be used.
- For a low momentum heavy quark,

$$rac{d m{p}_i}{dt} \;=\; \xi_i(t) - \eta_{
m D} m{p}_i, \qquad \langle \xi_i(t) \, \xi_j(t')
angle \;=\; \kappa \; \delta(t-t')$$

Svetitsky '88; Mustafa, Pal, Srivastava, '97; Moore & Teaney '05; Rapp & van Hees '05

See Ruggieri, Pooja, Jaiprakash, Das (2203.06712) for non-Markov noise Standard nonrelativistic relations connect κ to η_D and D_s , the position space diffusion coefficient.

$$\eta_D = \frac{\kappa}{2 M T}, \qquad \langle x^2(t) \rangle = 6 D_s t, \qquad D_s = \frac{2 T^2}{\kappa}$$

κ in kinetic theory

- One can calculate κ from the 2 \rightarrow 2 collision processes. Svetitsky '88, Moore & Teaney 2005, ...
- The LO calculation gives a value of κ substantially smaller than required to interpret the R_{AA} and v_2 results.
- NLO corrections very large.



Caron-Huot & Moore, PRL 100 (2008) 052301

Calculation of κ

- Phenomenological models: gives very different behaviors, even if parameters tuned to get κ in the right ballpark.
- Cao et al., PRC 99 (2019) 054907; S. Das, plenary talk
 A field theoretic definition of κ can be given from the force-force correlator:

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{3\chi} \lim_{\omega \to 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \, e^{i\omega t} \, \int d^3x \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \left\{ F_i(t,x) \,, \, F_i(0,0) \right\} \right\rangle$$

J. Casalderrey-Solana & D. Teaney, PRD 74 (2006) 085012; S. Caron-Huot, M. Laine & G. Moore, JHEP 04 (2009) 53; A. Bouttefeux & M. Laine, JHEP 12 (2020) 150

Nonperturbatively, we can calculate the Matsubara correlator on the lattice. This can be connected to the real-time correlator through the spectral function.

$$G_{FF}(au) = \int_0^\infty rac{d\omega}{\pi}
ho_{FF}(\omega) rac{\cosh \omega (au - 1/2T)}{\sinh \omega/2T}$$

• Then κ can be extracted from the infrared behavior of $\rho_{FF}(\omega)$:

$$\rho_{IR} \underset{\omega \to 0}{\approx} \frac{\kappa \, \omega}{2 \, T}$$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

$\kappa_{\rm E}$ from lattice

• The force term can be expanded in a series in 1/M:

$$F^{i} = M \frac{dJ^{i}}{dt} = \phi^{\dagger} \left\{ -gE^{i} + \frac{\left[D^{i}, D^{2} + g\sigma \cdot B\right]}{2M} + \frac{g\left[D_{0}, \sigma \times E\right]^{i}}{4M} + \dots \right\} \phi$$

▶ In the static limit $m_Q \rightarrow \infty$, $F^i = \phi^{\dagger} (-gE^i) \phi$.

• The *EE* correlator has been investigated for a gluonic plasma by multiple groups, and κ_E extracted.

Banerjee, Datta, Gavai, Majumdar, PRD (2012) Bielefeld Group(Kaczmarek, et al.), PRD (2015), PRD 103 (2021) 014511. Brambilla, et al. (TUMQCD), PRD (2020) and arXiv:2206.02861

- ► Results on κ_E in the temperature range $T_c < T \lesssim 3.5 T_c$. Banerjee, Datta, Gavai, Majumdar, 2206.14571
- We have used the multilevel method to enhance the signal, and used the perturbative renormalization.
- Besides, Gradient flow has been used by others for both opertor renormalization and signal enhancement.
- Analysis techniques used: modelling of the ultraviolet, Brackus-Gilbert inversion, Bayesian techniques (MEM),

$\kappa_{\rm E}$ from lattice

Structure of spectral function expected to be simple: we model $\rho_{\rm UV}(\omega)$ using perturbation theory.



Banerjee, Datta, Gavai & Majumdar, 2206.14571

- In agreement with other lattice determinations, where available.
- Matches well with NLO pert. theory (Caron-Huot & Moore). Higher order corrections small?

$1/m_Q$ correction

- The $1/m_Q$ correction has only recently been estimated.
- Under certain assumptions, (Bouttefeux & Laine, JHEP 12 ('20) 150)

$$\kappa_Q \; pprox \; \kappa_E \; + \; rac{2}{3} \left< v^2 \right> \kappa_B \, , \qquad \left< v^2 \right> \; = \; rac{3 \, T}{M_{
m kin}}$$

where κ_B is the equivalent of κ_E from the B - B correlator.

- The BB correlator has an anomalous dimension. This needs to be multiplied with a Wilson coefficient, to cancel the scale dependence.
- ► This becomes equivalent to evaluating $Z_B(\mu = 19.2T)$. M. Laine, JHEP 06 ('21) 139
- We used the clover discretization of the *B* field.
- Matching to the Ms operator can be done nonperturbatively using the results of the ALPHA collaboration.

Guazzini, Meyer & Sommer, JHEP 10 (2007) 081.

$1/m_Q$ correction

- κ_B obtained from the continum extrapolated correlator.
 Compared with the continuum limit of κ_B from discrete correlators.
- Analysis similar to the *EE* correlator, but subtle differences arise because of the anomalous dimension.



Banerjee, Datta & Laine, JHEP 2204.14075

- A 🗇 🕨 A 🖻 🕨 A 🖻

$\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$ from *BB* correlator

- $\langle v^2 \rangle$ is obtained from the constant part of $\frac{\langle J^i J^j \rangle}{\langle J^0 J^0 \rangle}$.
- We evaluate them from the NLO calculation of the vector correlator.



⟨v²⟩ can be large, ~ 0.67 (charm) and 0.3 (bottom) at 2 T_c.
 But Correction to κ is moderate, ~ 30-35% (charm), 15-20% (bottom) at 2 T_c.

Burnier & Laine, JHEP 11 ('12) 086



Indicates $\tau_b \approx 3\tau_c \gtrsim 10 fm$ η_D quite flat, especially for *b*. Contradicts some models used. $2\pi D_s T_c \sim 2.4 - 5$ for charm at T_c . Slope $\sim 2.57(43)$ for charm, comparable to what was extracted from data.

Xu, et al., PRC 97 (2018) 014907.

3

Summary: what have we learnt?

 Nonperturbative estimate of κ for the gluonic plasma from force-force correlator.

 Static limit: results for T ≤ 3.5T_c *Results at very high T also available* (Brambilla et al.) Agreement between different lattice calculations Agreement with NLO pert. theory.

- ► 1/M correction. Expansion works: ~ 20% correction for bottom at 2 T_c.
- Temperature dependence of κ_b, κ_c .
- Slope of D_c comparable with that extracted from data.





Large unquenching effect in preliminary lattice results .

L. Altenkort, INT Workshop, Oct. 2022 https://www.int.washington.edu/sites/ default/files/schedule_session_files/ Control of the session_files/ Control of t

EXTRA SLIDE: Matching to Lorentz Force

We need to calculate

$$M \frac{\partial J^{i}}{\partial t} \equiv \frac{M A_{i}^{QCD}}{N^{QCD}},$$

where A_i^{QCD} and N^{QCD} are the matrix elements of $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int d^3x J_i^{QCD}$ and $\int d^3x J_0^{QCD}$, respectively. Matching of the QCD operators to the Lorentz force term has been worked out to NLO by Laine (2103.14270). Taking the IR force term

$$F_i^{IR} = \theta^{\dagger} \left\{ Z_E E_i + Z_B (v \times B)_i \right\} \theta$$

 Z_E, Z_B are fixed by demanding

$$\frac{MA_i}{N}\bigg|_{QCD} = \frac{F_i}{N}\bigg|_{IR}$$

Calculating both sides to NLO, one gets (2103.14270)

$$Z_E = 1,$$
 $Z_B = 1 + rac{g^2 N_c}{16\pi^2} \left[rac{1}{\epsilon} + 2 \log rac{\mu_B \, e^{\gamma_E}}{4\pi T} - 2
ight]$

伺下 イヨト イヨト

EXTRA SLIDE: Z_E

- The $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ term in Z_B cancels a singularity in the BB correlator.
- ► The scale dependence in the finite term should be cancelled by a Wilson coefficient, equivalent to evaluating the correlator at $\mu_B \approx 4\pi T e^{1-\gamma_E} \approx 19.2T$.

M. Laine, 2103.14270

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- The nontrivial task is to connect the lattice correlator to MS.
- A detailed NP renormalization program for the clover implementation of the B field was carried out by the ALPHA collaboration.

D. Guazzini, H. Meyer & R. Sommer, JHEP 10 (2007) 081.

- ▶ NP renormalization coefficient was calculated in Schrödinger functional scheme, and connected to *ms* at a a large scale.
- ▶ Then we run it down to 19.2 T.

EXTRA SLIDE: Form of spectral function



EXTRA SLIDE: Analysis

$$\rho_{EE}^{IR}(\omega) \equiv \frac{\kappa \omega}{2T}, \qquad \rho_{UV}(\omega) \equiv \frac{g^2(\mu)C_f\omega^3}{6\pi}$$

$$\mu_B = \max\left[\omega^{1-\frac{\gamma_0}{b_0}} (\pi T)^{\frac{\gamma_0}{b_0}}, \pi T\right], \qquad \gamma_0 = \frac{3}{8\pi^2} \text{ (BB)}, \quad 0 \text{ (EE)}$$

- Model $\rho(\omega)$ as (1) $\rho_1(\omega) \equiv \max(\rho_{EE}^{IR}(\omega), c\rho_{UV}(\omega))$
- ▶ Physically better motivated: Francis et al. '15 (2) $\rho_2(\omega) \equiv \sqrt{(\rho_{EE}^{IR}(\omega))^2 + (c\rho_{UV}(\omega))^2}$
- In our fits, we got $c \sim 1$, as expected.
- Also tried adding a Fourier mode (Francis et al. '15)

$$\rho_{1f,2f}(\omega) \equiv (1 + d\sin \pi y) \ \rho_{1,2}^{c=1}(\omega), \qquad y = \frac{\log\left(1 + \frac{\omega}{\pi T}\right)}{1 + \log\left(1 + \frac{\omega}{\pi T}\right)}$$

d was found to be small, ≤ 0.12.
The whole analysis is done within a bootstrap framework.